IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

Lamont Henderson, #10311-171,) C/A NO. 3:09-2962-CMC-JRI	M
Plaintiff,)) OPINION and ORDER	
v.		
U.S. Attorney General,)	
Defendant.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On December 22, 2009, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on December 30, 2009.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's objections, the court agrees with the

conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and

Recommendation by reference in this Order.

Plaintiff's objections are without merit. Despite his attempt to veil his complaint as a

challenge to the constitutionality of the United States Sentencing Commission's promulgation of

certain sentencing guidelines, Plaintiff's complaint is, as the Magistrate Judge correctly noted, a

direct challenge of the application of certain Guidelines sections to the calculation of his sentence.

Therefore, Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). This dismissal is without prejudice and without issuance of service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

January 7, 2010

C:\Documents and Settings\Kgb07\Local Settings\Temp\notesE1EF34\~7477316.wpd

2